



KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LIII	} THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY	20th May 2008	No. } 21
വാല്യം 53		2008 മേയ് 20	
	തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	30th Vaisakha 1930	നമ്പർ }
		1930 വൈശാഖം 30	

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 613/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th March 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between Smt. Seleena Antony, Muyalan Veedu, Ollur P.O., Thykkattussery, Thrissur and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by The Secretary, Thrissur Jilla Petrol Bunk Employees Union (CITU), Machingal Lane, Thrissur-1 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of Employment to Sri Venugopalan, M., Worker by the management M/s. Delax Autos is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to get?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 614/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th March 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between (1) The Principal, Govt. Medical College, Mulamkunnathukavu P.O., Thrissur (2) The Warden, Para Medical College Ladies Hostel, Mulamkunnathukavu P.O., Thrissur and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Jose Chalissery, C/o K.V.K. Panicker, Southern Shopping Complex, Room No. X1/772/2, Veliyanoor, Thrissur-21 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. K. Thankamony by the management of Para Medical College Ladies Hostel, Mulamkunnathukavu, Thrissur is justifiable? If not, what relief she is entitled to?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 615/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th March 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Muthayya Rajyasabha, Managing Partner, Sri Nagalinga Vilasom Oil Mills, Asramam P.O., Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri P. Gopalakrishnan, Bindu Bhavanam, S.R.P.M., Thazhava P. O., Karunagappally in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kollam. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri P. Gopalakrishnan the Expeller Worker by the management of Sri Nagalinga Vilasom Oil Mills, Asramam, Kollam w. e. f. 19-5-2002 is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 617/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th March 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Smt. Sudha Jagan, Flat No. A-1, M. R. G. Samyuktha Apartment, Aranattukara Road, West Fort, Poothole P. O., Thrissur-680 004 (2) The Managing Partner, Karthiyani Nursing Home Pharmacy, Patturaikkal, Thrissur and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by The General Secretary, Kerala Hospital Employees Sangh (BMS), Vivekananda Road, Thrissur-680 001 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. C.N Padmakumari, Shop Assistant by the management of M/s Karthiyani Nursing Home Pharmacy is justifiable? If not what relief she is entitled to get?

(5)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 624/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th March 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Cochin Malabar Estate and Industries Limited, Pullikkanam Estate, Pullikkanam Post, Elappara, Idukki and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Regi Antony, KR/346/1842 (workman), Upper Division, Pullikkanam Estate, Pullikkanam Post, Elappara, Idukki in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Sri Regi Antony, PF No. KR/346/1842 by the management of Pullikkanam Estate is justifiable?
2. If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 625/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th March 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Secretary, Managing Committee, Kerala Government Secretariat Canteen, Secretariat Campus, Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri R. Sivakumar, T. C. 27/2202, Chirakkulam, Statue Road, Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Sri R. Sivakumar, Cleaner from service is justifiable? If not, what are reliefs entitled to him?

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 713/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 26th March 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The General Manager, Chandrika Daily, P.B. No. 64, Chandrika Building, Y.M.C.A. Road, Kozhikode-1 and the workman of

the above referred establishment represented by The General Secretary, Chandrika Press Employees Association, Y.M.C.A. Road, Kozhikode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the action of the Management of Chandrika Press in transferring of Sri K. M. Akabar, Assistant Printing Machineman to the Cochin Unit of the same establishment and the denial of arrear wages to him is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

(8)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 729/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 27th March 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri R. Gireesh Kumar s/o Radhakrishna Pillai, Gireesh Bhavan, Ayathil P.O., Ayathil and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by The Secretary, Kollam Taluk Cashew Workers Union, C.I.T.U. Bhavan, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. J. Seetha, Peeling Worker (Card No. 10), Krishnagiri Cashew Exports, Alummoodu P. O., Mukhathala, Kollam District is justifiable ? If not, what relief she is entitled to ?

By order of the Governor,
K. CHANDRAN,
Under Secretary to Government.